

# IMPLEMENTING AND EVALUATING MENTAL HEALTH AND PSYCHOSOCIAL SUPPORT (MHPSS) INTERVENTIONS IN UGANDA

## Research Brief

### KEY FINDINGS

- The Journey of Life intervention was successful in supporting caregiver wellbeing and encouraging positive parenting behavior.
- Barriers to participation included individuals meeting basic needs, a lack of transportation, and language barriers. Men were less likely to participate in the Journey of Life program than women.
- Our findings support an emerging evidence base of programs that improve psychosocial programming for refugees in humanitarian settings. Further work is needed to engage male caregivers and to explore the long-term effects of the program on caregivers and children

### BACKGROUND

The primary aim of the Journey of Life (JoL) program is to establish effective and sustainable evidence for psychosocial support and child protection activities in the Kiryandongo refugee settlement in Uganda through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) Health Evaluation and Applied Research Development (HEARD) program. Between July 2020 and May 2022, the Transcultural Psychosocial Organization (TPO) and Washington University in St. Louis (WUSTL) team completed various operational activities, including program implementation and evidence strengthening.

The JoL intervention is a psychosocial program designed to engage parents and caregivers in capacity building to care for their children and the children in their communities. Participants meet in groups for weekly sessions that involve

a range of knowledge and skill-building activities. The JoL intervention was originally developed by REPPSI to support children affected by HIV/AIDs, and was adapted for implementation in the humanitarian context.

The majority of the Kiryandongo population is under age 18 (62%), and 99% of the refugee population have fled conflict in South Sudan, while the rest are from the Democratic Republic of Congo, Sudan, Kenya, Burundi, and Rwanda (UNHCR, 2020b). Psychological distress is quite high among refugees in Uganda, affecting both caregivers and their children. However, there are limited health services and, less than 1% of the total population of people with mental health concerns in Kiryandongo are able to receive MHPSS services (Adaku et al., 2016).

## EVALUATION PROCESS

### Intervention Design

This JoL adaption focused on engaging caregivers in building awareness around child protection and fostering psychosocial support through reflection, dialogue, and action. The series of workshops were divided into twelve sessions that include; psychoeducation, self-care, positive parenting, understanding children's needs, identifying children who need help, and building on children's strengths. The manualized protocol for 12 sessions was designed to be implemented by non-specialized humanitarian workers. There was an overall emphasis across the curriculum on creating nurturing and caring communities.

### Study Design

The JoL study utilized a quasi-experimental design with a waitlist control group and an intervention group to examine the effectiveness of the Journey of Life intervention among refugees displaced in Uganda. The hybrid effectiveness-implementation study design utilized a quantitative approach to examine effectiveness and a qualitative approach to examine the implementation of the intervention.

In order to reduce contamination, the treatment group was conducted in one Ranch (a demarcated segment of the settlement) of Kiryandongo, while the waitlist control group was in a Ranch that is geographically separate. Participants in the intervention group received the program weekly for 12 weeks. The waitlist control group was invited to participate in the intervention shortly after the endline assessment in the intervention group was completed. Effectiveness indicators were assessed at baseline and endline.

### Inclusion Criteria

Inclusion criteria for participation in JoL was any person (men and women) ages 18 and over who lives with a child under 18 years old or has responsibilities for someone under the



age of 18. Participants could be refugees or Ugandan nationals living in the study location, and they did not need to meet any criteria for adverse mental health, including stress or mild mental illness. Additionally, individuals who have experienced an adverse mental health event were not excluded from the study.

Exclusion criteria for the intervention included anyone aged 17 and under and anyone who was not able to consent to participate.

## EFFECTIVENESS FINDINGS

A total of 1,137 respondents completed baseline and endline assessments. At baseline, almost all (96.04%) of respondents in the full sample originated from South Sudan. Most respondents were married or cohabitating (69.83%) at baseline, and nearly all respondents identified as female (92.46%). Respondents most often reported caring for multiple children, with 55.15% and 24.19% of respondents reporting three to six and more than six children in their care, respectively. Most respondents were between the ages of 36-45 (38.33%) or 26-35 (35.86%).

Nearly half of respondents (49.47%) reported that cash from INGOs provided their primary income source; farming (37.74%) was also a commonly reported income source. Food insecurity was also common, as 82.63% of respondents reported not having food to eat because of a lack of resources within the three-months prior to baseline data collection.

**TABLE 1: INTENT-TO-TREAT ANALYSIS, LINEAR REGRESSION COEFFICIENTS**

	UNADJUSTED COEF. [95% CI]	ADJUSTED (PARTIAL) COEF. [95% CI]	ADJUSTED (FULL) COEF. [95% CI]	UNADJUSTED COEF. [95% CI]	ADJUSTED (PARTIAL) COEF. [95% CI]	ADJUSTED (FULL) COEF. [95% CI]	UNADJUSTED COEF. [95% CI]	ADJUSTED (PARTIAL) COEF. [95% CI]	ADJUSTED (FULL) COEF. [95% CI]
<b>CAREGIVER WELLBEING</b>									
	<b>MENTAL DISTRESS+</b>			<b>SOCIAL SUPPORT</b>			<b>FUNCTIONING+</b>		
INTERVENTION	<b>3.37***</b> (2.80-3.94)	<b>2.74***</b> (2.15-3.32)	<b>1.89***</b> (1.26-2.53)	<b>1.63***</b> (0.74-2.51)	<b>1.79***</b> (0.87-2.71)	0.49 (-0.54-1.51)	<b>2.70***</b> (1.67-3.74)	<b>1.96***</b> (0.91-3.01)	0.55 (-0.60-1.71)
R-SQUARED	0.13	0.18	0.32	0.03	0.05	0.21	0.04	0.09	0.26
<b>CAREGIVING BEHAVIORS AND ATTITUDES</b>									
	<b>WARMTH/AFFECTION</b>			<b>UNDIFFERENTIATED REJECTION+</b>			<b>VAC ATTITUDES+</b>		
INTERVENTION	<b>2.65***</b> (2.18-3.12)	<b>2.58***</b> (2.09-3.06)	<b>2.18***</b> (1.68-2.69)	<b>0.55***</b> (0.29-0.81)	<b>0.68***</b> (0.41-0.95)	<b>0.78***</b> (0.46-1.10)	<b>2.07***</b> (1.70-2.43)	<b>2.12***</b> (1.74-2.50)	<b>1.54***</b> (1.10-1.97)
R-SQUARED	0.13	0.15	0.31	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.19	0.20	0.25

Note: A total of 18 models are presented in this table, whereby each of the six outcomes includes an unadjusted, partially adjusted, and fully adjusted model. Adjusted models (full and partial) include the respective baseline measure, as well as baseline covariates of age, schooling, number of children in care, food security, ranch, gender, and marital status. Adjusted full models include the baseline and endline measures for the other outcomes of interest. Each column is a separate model. 95% CI: 95% Confidence Interval; \*\*\* = p<0.001, \*\* = p<0.01, \* = p<0.05; + reflects an inverted score.

Findings from the intent-to-treat analysis demonstrate that, overall, JoL participation was strongly associated with an improvement in mental distress and attitudes related to child violence. In the fully adjusted models (with the addition of covariates), JoL participation improved mental distress (coef: 1.89; p<0.001), warmth/affection (coef: 2.18; p<0.001), undifferentiated rejection (coef: 0.78; p<0.001), and VAC attitudes (coef: 1.54; p<0.001).


Statistical significance was found in partially adjusted models for the following outcomes; social support (coef: 1.79; p<0.001) and functioning (coef: 1.96; p<0.001). However, statistical significance was not demonstrated

in the fully adjusted models.

Participation in the JoL program was associated with improvements in the primary outcome of mental distress, and the secondary study outcomes of parenting behaviors and attitudes towards violence against children. These are positive outcomes that strengthen the literature about parent and caregiver interventions in humanitarian settings.

Further investigation into effectiveness among subgroups of participants would be valuable. Furthermore, future research about the long-term outcomes of the program, and the program effects on children’s mental health and functioning would be impactful.

**FIGURE 1: FOREST PLOT OF INTERVENTION EFFECTS, ADJUSTED, BASELINE SUBTRACTED BY ENDLINE SCORES**





## IMPLEMENTATION FINDINGS

From February 2021 to April 2021 and October 2021 to February 2022, the study conducted 43 semi-structured interviews with a range of stakeholders (e.g., partner organization staff, implementation staff, refugee community members) recruited using purposive sampling. Interviews were conducted in-person before and after JoL program implementation by trained data collectors. Interviews were conducted in English (42%) and local languages (58%) using a translator's assistance.

Respondents were asked about their perceptions of community needs and resources, their views on mental health and child protection, and their suggestions about program components. Implementation staff were also asked about motivating factors and determinants to implementation success. Audio-recorded interviews were transcribed by research assistants with the support of translators. and they were uploaded to a secure server.

Both inductive and deductive approaches were used to code the data by five coders independent of each other. First, transcripts were read in detail to openly code the factors that affected implementation. Second, codes

derived from the five domains (outer setting, inner setting, characteristics of individuals, intervention characteristics, implementation process) of the consolidated framework for implementation research (CFIR) were applied to the data. Coding was completed by five independent coders who met on a weekly basis and managed discrepancies in coding through discussion and consensus among the research team.

The JoL study identified relevant barriers and facilitators for JoL program implementation. Regarding the outer setting, identified facilitators to implementation included the cosmopolitanism of organizations, or how connected they were. The connections between organizations provided strong networks, communication, and coordination across different sectors that were relevant to the intervention - namely mental health, health, education, and child protection sectors.

Regarding the inner setting of the implementing organization, TPO Uganda, facilitators to implementation included staff motivation to see changes in the communities where they work and institutional support through enhanced training opportunities.

Individuals in the refugee community identified hunger, transportation, and language challenges as barriers to being able to attend and actively participate in programs. However, they also noted that these could be addressed with appropriate support (i.e. adding livelihood support to programming and including translators in sessions).

Implementation staff and community members who participated in the intervention were also very satisfied with the JOL program.

*This study was funded by the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) under the Health Evaluation and Applied Research Development (HEARD), Cooperative Agreement No. AID-OAA-A-17-00002. This study is made possible by the support of the American People through the United States Agency for International Development (USAID). The findings of this study are the sole responsibility of the contributing authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of USAID or the United States Government.*